

Attachment #4 – CoC Rating & Ranking Procedure

In this zip file, there are 7 documents to support that the CoC uses objective criteria, at least 1 factor related to a positive housing outcome, and there is a specific method for evaluating projects submitted by victim service providers.

(1) CoC Project Scoring Tool – Final

This is the Excel Spreadsheet CoC Project Scoring Tool used in connection to the FY18 CoC Competition. There are multiple tabs: explanation, ranking, tiebreaker, points, evaluation, data, no data collected.

- The POINTS tab shows each section, by project type, # of points, and the range for each measurable criteria.
 - The entire scoring tool is based on objective criteria derived from SAGE APR submissions, HMIS data reports, and/or the project application including performance and type of population served.
 - Positive Housing outcomes include exits to permanent housing and reoccurrence. The CoC scores reoccurrence in two ways: SPM (positive exits) and an HMIS report (all exits).
- The TIEBREAKER tab shows the process of breaking a tie in points based on cost effectiveness.
- The EVALUATION tab shows how points are applied to each project.
- The DATA tab shows the raw data for each project.

(2) CoC Project Scoring Tool Explanation

This document explains the board policy decisions related to the scoring and ranking of projects, the draft timeline, the process underwent to update the Scoring Tool, the total possible points, a breakdown of where the points come from (page 3), and then a further explanation for each section.

- In Part 2: Program Performance (page 5-9), the criteria is listed, points & range, exceptions explained, and the source for each measure identified.
- In Part 3: Program Performance Measures (page 10-15), the criteria is listed, points & range, and the source for each measure identified. There is a different range for PSH projects vs. TH & RRH projects. Note, the criteria is the same for all projects.
- If a project cannot be scored on a measure, the project qualifies for an exception and full points are awarded. For example, in Part 4: System Performance Measures, the criteria includes reoccurrence rates and length of time Homeless. Both sources involve HMIS data. DV projects cannot enter into HMIS and their comparable databases do not produce system performance measures. As a result, those DV projects qualify for the exception and receive full points for these criteria.

(3) WIBOSCOC CoC Competition: Project Scoring Tool and Threshold

This power point was used during a live webinar conducted in May 2018, recorded, and posted on the BOS website. The power point provides a timeline, explains the CoC Project Scoring Tool, and explains the threshold for renewal projects.

(4) RFP Bonus – New Project Application 2018

This is the application used by applicants applying for new projects through reallocated funds or bonus funds. This is not the application used for the DV bonus or transition grants. Pages 1-7 explain the expectations, requirements, and thresholds that must be met to be considered.

(5) RFP Bonus – New Project Application 2018 RUBRIC

This is the rubric, the method of scoring, used for the new project applications. Each section is scored with a set number of points possible.

(6) RFP DV Bonus – New Project Application 2018

This is the application used by applicants applying to be sub-recipients of the WIBOSCOC DV RRH Bonus project. This is not the application used for Bonus or transition grants. Pages 1-6 explain the expectations, requirements, and thresholds that must be met to be considered.

(7) RFP DV Bonus – New Project Application 2018 RUBRIC

This is the rubric, the method of scoring, used for the new DV RRH sub-recipient project applications. Each section is scored with a set number of points possible.